arXiv:1509.02103v1 [math.AP] 7 Sep 2015

HARNACK TYPE INEQUALITY FOR AN ELLIPTIC EQUATION.

SAMY SKANDER BAHOURA

ABSTRACT. We give a $\sup \times \inf$ inequality for an elliptic equation.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

We are on Riemannian manifold (M,g) of dimension $n \geq 3$. In this paper we denote $\Delta_g = -\nabla^j(\nabla_j)$ the Laplace-Beltrami operator and $N = \frac{2n}{n-2}$.

We consider the following equation

$$\Delta_g u = V u^{N-1} + u^{\alpha},\ u > 0. \tag{1}$$

Where V is a function and $\alpha \in]\frac{n}{n-2}, \frac{n+2}{n-2}[$.

For $a, b, A > 0$, we consider a sequence $(u_i, V_i)_i$ of solutions of the previous equation with the following conditions:

$$0 < a \leq V_i \leq b < +\infty,$$

$$||\nabla V_i||_{\infty} \leq A.$$

Here we study some properties of this nonlinear elliptic equation. We try to find some estimates of type $\sup \times \inf$. We denote by S_g the scalar curvature.

There are many existence and compactness results which concern this type of equations, see for example [1-21]. In particluar in [1], we can find some results about the Yamabe equation and the Prescribed scalar curvature equation. Many methods where used to solve these problems, as a variationnal approach and some other topological methods. Note that the problems come from the nonlinearity of the critical Sobolev exponent. We can find in [1] some uniform estimates for various equations on the unit sphere or for the Monge-Ampere equation. Note that Tian and Siu proved uniform upper and lower bounds for the $\sup + \inf$ for the Monge-Ampere equation under some condition on the Chern class, see [1]. In the case of the Scalar curvature equation and in dimension 2 Shafrir used the isoperimetric inequality of Alexandrov to prove an inequality of type $\sup + \inf$ with only L^{∞} assumption on the prescribed curvature, see [21]. The result of Shafrir is an extention of a result of Brezis and Merle, see [4] and later, Brezis-Li-Shafrir proved a sharp $\sup + \inf$ inequality for the same equation with Lipschitzian assumption on the prescribed scalar curvature, see [3]. Li in[17] extend the previous last result to compact Riemannian surfaces. In the higher dimensional case, we can find in [15] a proof of the $\sup \times \inf$ inequality in the constant case for the scalar curvature equation on open set of $\mathbb{R}^n$. We have various estimates in [2] when we consider the nonconstant case. To prove our result, we use a blow-up analysis and the moving-plane method, based on the maximum principle and the Hopf Lemma as showed in [2, 3, 15, 17], and a condition on the scalar curvature is sufficient to prove the estimate.

Our main result is:

Theorem 1.1. *Assume $S_g > 0$ on M, then, for every compact K of M, there exist a positive constant $c = c(\alpha, a, b, A, K, M, n, g)$ such that:*

$$\sup_K u_i \times \inf_M u_i \leq c.$$

Remark: in the case where $(M,g) = (\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n, \delta)$ an open set of the euclidean space with the flat metric, we have the same inequality on compact sets of Ω in this case the scalar curvature $S_{\delta} \equiv 0$, see [2].

If we consider the Green function G of the Laplacian with Dirichlet condition on small balls of M, we can have a positive lower bound for G and we have the following corollary:

Corollary 1.2. *Assume $S_g > 0$ on M, then, for every compact K of M, there exist a positive constant $c' = c'(\alpha, a, b, A, K, M, n, g)$ such that:*

$$\int_K u_i^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dv_g \leq c'.$$

2. PROOF OF THE THEOREM.

Let us consider $x_0 \in M$, by a conformal change of the metric $\tilde{g} = \varphi^{4/(n-2)} g$ with $\varphi > 0$ we can consider an equation of type:

$$\Delta_{\tilde{g}} u + R_{\tilde{g}} u = V u^{N-1} + \varphi^{\alpha+1-N} u^{\alpha} + R_g \varphi^{2-N} u, \ u > 0. \tag{2}$$

with,

$$Ricci_{\tilde{g}}(x_0) = 0.$$

Here; $R_g = \dfrac{n-2}{4(n-1)} S_g$ and $R_{\tilde{g}} = \dfrac{n-2}{4(n-1)} S_{\tilde{g}}$

It is clear see the computations in a previous paper [2], it is sufficient to consider an equation of type:

$$\Delta_{\tilde{g}} u = V u^{N-1} + u^{\alpha} + \mu u, \ u > 0. \tag{3}$$

with,

$Ricci \equiv 0$ and $\mu > 0$.

Part I: The metric in polar coordinates.

Let (M, g) a Riemannian manifold. We note $g_{x,ij}$ the local expression of the metric g in the exponential map centered in x.

We are concerning by the polar coordinates expression of the metric. Using Gauss lemma, we can write:

$$g = ds^2 = dt^2 + g_{ij}^k(r,\theta) d\theta^i d\theta^j = dt^2 + r^2 \tilde{g}_{ij}^k(r,\theta) d\theta^i d\theta^j = g_{x,ij} dx^i dx^j,$$

in a polar chart with origin x", $]0, \epsilon_0[\times U^k$, with (U^k, ψ) a chart of $\mathbb{S}_{n-1}$. We can write the element volume:

$$dV_g = r^{n-1} \sqrt{|\tilde{g}^k|} dr d\theta^1 \ldots d\theta^{n-1} = \sqrt{[det(g_{x,ij})]} dx^1 \ldots dx^n,$$

then,

$$dV_g = r^{n-1} \sqrt{[det(g_{x,ij})]} [\exp_x(r\theta)] \alpha^k(\theta) dr d\theta^1 \ldots d\theta^{n-1},$$

where, α^k is such that, $d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}_{n-1}} = \alpha^k(\theta) d\theta^1 \ldots d\theta^{n-1}$. (Riemannian volume element of the sphere in the chart (U^k, ψ)).

Then,

$$\sqrt{|\tilde{g}^k|} = \alpha^k(\theta) \sqrt{[det(g_{x,ij})]}.$$

Clearly, we have the following proposition:

Proposition 2.1. *Let $x_0 \in M$, there exist $\epsilon_1 > 0$ and if we reduce U^k, we have:*

$$|\partial_r \tilde g_{ij}^k(x,r,\theta)| + |\partial_r \partial_{\theta^m} \tilde g_{ij}^k(x,r,\theta)| \leq Cr, \ \forall \ x \in B(x_0, \epsilon_1) \ \forall \ r \in [0, \epsilon_1], \ \forall \ \theta \in U^k.$$

and,

$$|\partial_r |\tilde g^k|(x,r,\theta)| + \partial_r \partial_{\theta^m} |\tilde g^k|(x,r,\theta) \leq Cr, \ \forall \ x \in B(x_0, \epsilon_1) \ \forall \ r \in [0, \epsilon_1], \ \forall \ \theta \in U^k.$$

Remark:

$\partial_r[\log \sqrt{|\tilde g^k|}]$ is a local function of θ, and the restriction of the global function on the sphere $\mathbb{S}_{n-1}$, $\partial_r[\log \sqrt{det(g_{x,ij})}]$. We will note, $J(x,r,\theta) = \sqrt{det(g_{x,ij})}$.

Part II: The laplacian in polar coordinates

Let's write the laplacian in $[0, \epsilon_1] \times U^k$,

$$-\Delta = \partial_{rr} + \frac{n-1}{r}\partial_r + \partial_r[\log \sqrt{|\tilde g^k|}]\partial_r + \frac{1}{r^2\sqrt{|\tilde g^k|}}\partial_{\theta^i}(\tilde g^{\theta^i\theta^j}\sqrt{|\tilde g^k|}\partial_{\theta^j}).$$

We have,

$$-\Delta = \partial_{rr} + \frac{n-1}{r}\partial_r + \partial_r \log J(x,r,\theta)\partial_r + \frac{1}{r^2\sqrt{|\tilde g^k|}}\partial_{\theta^i}(\tilde g^{\theta^i\theta^j}\sqrt{|\tilde g^k|}\partial_{\theta^j}).$$

We write the laplacian (radial and angular decomposition),

$$-\Delta = \partial_{rr} + \frac{n-1}{r}\partial_r + \partial_r[\log J(x,r,\theta)]\partial_r - \Delta_{S_r(x)},$$

where $\Delta_{S_r(x)}$ is the laplacian on the sphere $S_r(x)$.

We set $L_\theta(x,r)(...) = r^2\Delta_{S_r(x)}(...)[\exp_x(r\theta)]$, clearly, this operator is a laplacian on $\mathbb{S}_{n-1}$ for particular metric. We write,

$$L_\theta(x,r) = \Delta_{g_{x,r,\mathbb{S}_{n-1}}},$$

and,

$$\Delta = \partial_{rr} + \frac{n-1}{r}\partial_r + \partial_r[J(x,r,\theta)]\partial_r - \frac{1}{r^2}L_\theta(x,r).$$

If, u is function on M, then, $\bar u(r,\theta) = u[\exp_x(r\theta)]$ is the corresponding function in polar coordinates centered in x. We have,

$$-\Delta u = \partial_{rr}\bar u + \frac{n-1}{r}\partial_r \bar u + \partial_r[J(x,r,\theta)]\partial_r \bar u - \frac{1}{r^2}L_\theta(x,r)\bar u. \tag{4}$$

Part III: "Blow-up" and "Moving-plane" methods

The "blow-up" analysis

Let, $(u_i)_i$ a sequence of functions on M such that,

$$\Delta_{\tilde g}u_i + R_{\tilde g}u_i = V_i u_i^{N-1} + \varphi^{\alpha-N}u_i^\alpha + R_g\varphi^{2-N}u_i, \ u_i > 0, \ N = \frac{2n}{n-2}, \tag{5}$$

It is sufficient to consider an equation of type:

$$\Delta_{\tilde g}u = Vu^{N-1} + u^\alpha + \mu u, \ u > 0. \tag{6}$$

with $Ricci \equiv 0$ and $\mu > 0$.
We argue by contradiction and we suppose that $\sup \times \inf$ is not bounded.
We assume that:

$\forall \ c, R > 0 \ \exists \ u_{c,R}$ solution of (E) such that:

$$R^{n-2}\sup_{B(x_0,R)} u_{c,R}\times \inf_M u_{c,R}\geq c. \qquad (H)$$

Proposition 2.2. *There exist a sequence of points $(y_i)_i$, $y_i\to x_0$ and two sequences of positive real number $(l_i)_i, (L_i)_i$, $l_i\to 0$, $L_i\to+\infty$, such that if we consider $v_i(y)=\dfrac{u_i[\exp_{y_i}(y)]}{u_i(y_i)}$, we have:*

$$i) \qquad 0<v_i(y)\leq \beta_i\leq 2^{(n-2)/2},\ \beta_i\to 1.$$

$$ii) \qquad v_i(y)\to\left(\frac{1}{1+|y|^2}\right)^{(n-2)/2}, \text{ uniformly on every compact set of } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

$$iii) \qquad l_i^{(n-2)/2}[u_i(y_i)]\times\inf_M u_i\to+\infty$$

Proof:

Without loss of generality, we can assume that:

$$V(x_0)=n(n-2).$$

We use the hypothesis (H). We can take two sequences $R_i>0, R_i\to 0$ and $c_i\to+\infty$, such that,

$$R_i{}^{(n-2)}\sup_{B(x_0,R_i)} u_i\times\inf_M u_i\geq c_i\to+\infty.$$

Let, $x_i\in B(x_0,R_i)$, such that $\sup_{B(x_0,R_i)} u_i=u_i(x_i)$ and $s_i(x)=[R_i-d(x,x_i)]^{(n-2)/2}u_i(x), x\in B(x_i,R_i)$. Then, $x_i\to x_0$.

We have,

$$\max_{B(x_i,R_i)} s_i(x)=s_i(y_i)\geq s_i(x_i)=R_i{}^{(n-2)/2}u_i(x_i)\geq\sqrt{c_i}\to+\infty.$$

Set :

$$l_i=R_i-d(y_i,x_i),\ \bar u_i(y)=u_i[\exp_{y_i}(y)],\ v_i(z)=\frac{u_i[\exp_{y_i}\left(z/[u_i(y_i)]^{2/(n-2)}\right)]}{u_i(y_i)}.$$

Clearly, $y_i\to x_0$. We obtain:

$$L_i=\frac{l_i}{(c_i)^{1/2(n-2)}}[u_i(y_i)]^{2/(n-2)}=\frac{[s_i(y_i)]^{2/(n-2)}}{c_i^{1/2(n-2)}}\geq\frac{c_i^{1/(n-2)}}{c_i^{1/2(n-2)}}=c_i^{1/2(n-2)}\to+\infty.$$

If $|z|\leq L_i$, then $y=\exp_{y_i}[z/[u_i(y_i)]^{2/(n-2)}]\in B(y_i,\delta_i l_i)$ with $\delta_i=\dfrac{1}{(c_i)^{1/2(n-2)}}$ and $d(y,y_i)<R_i-d(y_i,x_i)$, thus, $d(y,x_i)<R_i$ and, $s_i(y)\leq s_i(y_i)$, we can write,

$$u_i(y)[R_i-d(y,y_i)]^{(n-2)/2}\leq u_i(y_i)(l_i)^{(n-2)/2}.$$

But, $d(y,y_i)\leq\delta_i l_i$, $R_i>l_i$ and $R_i-d(y,y_i)\geq R_i-\delta_i l_i>l_i-\delta_i l_i=l_i(1-\delta_i)$, we obtain,

$$0<v_i(z)=\frac{u_i(y)}{u_i(y_i)}\leq\left[\frac{l_i}{l_i(1-\delta_i)}\right]^{(n-2)/2}\leq 2^{(n-2)/2}.$$

We set, $\beta_i=\left(\dfrac{1}{1-\delta_i}\right)^{(n-2)/2}$, clearly $\beta_i\to 1$.

The function v_i is solution of:

$$-g^{jk}[\exp_{y_i}(y)]\partial_{jk}v_i-\partial_k\left[g^{jk}\sqrt{|g|}\right][\exp_{y_i}(y)]\partial_j v_i=\frac{1}{[u_i(y_i)]^{N-1-\alpha}}v_i^{\alpha}+\frac{\mu}{[u_i(y_i)]^{4/(n-2)}}v_i+V_i{v_i}^{N-1},$$

By elliptic estimates and Ascoli, Ladyzenskaya theorems, $(v_i)_i$ converge uniformely on each compact to the function v solution on $\mathbb{R}^n$ of,

$$\Delta v=n(n-2)v^{N-1},\ v(0)=1,\ 0\leq v\leq 1\leq 2^{(n-2)/2}, \tag{7}$$

By using maximum principle, we have $v>0$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$, the result of Caffarelli-Gidas-Spruck (see [6]) give, $v(y)=\left(\dfrac{1}{1+|y|^2}\right)^{(n-2)/2}$. We have the same properties for v_i in the previous paper [2].

Polar coordinates and ”moving-plane” method

Let,

$$w_i(t,\theta)=e^{(n-2)/2}\bar{u}_i(e^t,\theta)=e^{(n-2)t/2}u_i o\exp_{y_i}(e^t\theta),\ \text{et}\ a(y_i,t,\theta)=\log J(y_i,e^t,\theta).$$

We set $\delta=\dfrac{(n+2)-(n-2)\alpha}{2}$.

Lemma 2.3. *The function w_i is solution of:*

$$-\partial_{tt}w_i-\partial_t a\partial_t w_i-L_\theta(y_i,e^t)+cw_i=V_i w_i^{N-1}+e^{\delta t}w_i^{\alpha}+\mu e^{2t}w_i, \tag{8}$$

with,

$$c=c(y_i,t,\theta)=\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2+\frac{n-2}{2}\partial_t a.$$

Proof:

We write:

$$\partial_t w_i=e^{nt/2}\partial_r\bar{u}_i+\frac{n-2}{2}w_i,\ \partial_{tt}w_i=e^{(n+2)t/2}\left[\partial_{rr}\bar{u}_i+\frac{n-1}{e^t}\partial_r\bar{u}_i\right]+\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 w_i.$$

$$\partial_t a=e^t\partial_r\log J(y_i,e^t,\theta),\partial_t a\partial_t w_i=e^{(n+2)t/2}\left[\partial_r\log J\partial_r\bar{u}_i\right]+\frac{n-2}{2}\partial_t a w_i.$$

the lemma is proved.

Now we have, $\partial_t a=\dfrac{\partial_t b_1}{b_1}$, $b_1(y_i,t,\theta)=J(y_i,e^t,\theta)>0$,

We can write,

$$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{b_1}}\partial_{tt}(\sqrt{b_1}w_i)-L_\theta(y_i,e^t)w_i+[c(t)+b_1^{-1/2}b_2(t,\theta)]w_i=V_i w_i^{N-1}+e^{\delta t}w_i^{\alpha}+\mu e^{2t}w_i,$$

where, $b_2(t,\theta)=\partial_{tt}(\sqrt{b_1})=\dfrac{1}{2\sqrt{b_1}}\partial_{tt}b_1-\dfrac{1}{4(b_1)^{3/2}}(\partial_t b_1)^2$.

Let,

$$\tilde{w}_i=\sqrt{b_1}w_i,$$

Lemma 2.4. *The function $\tilde{w}_i$ is solution of:*

$$-\partial_{tt}\tilde{w}_i + \Delta_{g_{y_i,e^t,\mathbb{S}_{n-1}}}(\tilde{w}_i) + 2\nabla_\theta(\tilde{w}_i).\nabla_\theta \log(\sqrt{b_1}) + (c + b_1^{-1/2} b_2 - c_2)\tilde{w}_i =$$

$$= V_i \left(\frac{1}{b_1}\right)^{(N-2)/2} \tilde{w}_i^{N-1} + e^{\delta t}\left(\frac{1}{b_1}\right)^{(\alpha-1)/2} \tilde{w}_i^{\alpha} + \mu e^{2t}\tilde{w}_i, \tag{9}$$

where, $c_2 = [\frac{1}{\sqrt{b_1}}\Delta_{g_{y_i,e^t,\mathbb{S}_{n-1}}}(\sqrt{b_1}) + |\nabla_\theta \log(\sqrt{b_1})|^2]$.

Proof:

We have:

$$-\partial_{tt}\tilde{w}_i - \sqrt{b_1}\Delta_{g_{y_i,e^t,\mathbb{S}_{n-1}}} w_i + (c + b_2)\tilde{w}_i = V_i \left(\frac{1}{b_1}\right)^{(N-2)/2} \tilde{w}_i^{N-1} +$$

$$+ e^{\delta t}\left(\frac{1}{b_1}\right)^{(\alpha-1)/2} \tilde{w}_i^{\alpha} + \mu e^{2t}\tilde{w}_i,$$

But,

$$\Delta_{g_{y_i,e^t,\mathbb{S}_{n-1}}}(\sqrt{b_1}w_i) = \sqrt{b_1}\Delta_{g_{y_i,e^t,\mathbb{S}_{n-1}}} w_i - 2\nabla_\theta w_i.\nabla_\theta\sqrt{b_1} + w_i\Delta_{g_{y_i,e^t,\mathbb{S}_{n-1}}}(\sqrt{b_1}),$$

and,

$$\nabla_\theta(\sqrt{b_1}w_i) = w_i\nabla_\theta\sqrt{b_1} + \sqrt{b_1}\nabla_\theta w_i,$$

we deduce than,

$$\sqrt{b_1}\Delta_{g_{y_i,e^t,\mathbb{S}_{n-1}}} w_i = \Delta_{g_{y_i,e^t,\mathbb{S}_{n-1}}}(\tilde{w}_i) + 2\nabla_\theta(\tilde{w}_i).\nabla_\theta\log(\sqrt{b_1}) - c_2\tilde{w}_i,$$

with $c_2 = [\frac{1}{\sqrt{b_1}}\Delta_{g_{y_i,e^t,\mathbb{S}_{n-1}}}(\sqrt{b_1}) + |\nabla_\theta \log(\sqrt{b_1})|^2]$. The lemma is proved.

The "moving-plane" method:

Let ξ_i a real number, and suppose $\xi_i \leq t$. We set $t^{\xi_i} = 2\xi_i - t$ and $\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i}(t,\theta) = \tilde{w}_i(t^{\xi_i},\theta)$.

We have,

$$-\partial_{tt}\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i} + \Delta_{g_{y_i,e^{t^{\xi_i}}\mathbb{S}_{n-1}}}(\tilde{w}_i) + 2\nabla_\theta(\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i}).\nabla_\theta\log(\sqrt{b_1})\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i} + [c(t^{\xi_i}) + b_1^{-1/2}(t^{\xi_i},.)b_2(t^{\xi_i}) - c_2^{\xi_i}]\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i} =$$

$$= V_i\left(\frac{1}{b_1^{\xi_i}}\right)^{(N-2)/2} (\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i})^{N-1} +$$

$$+ e^{\delta t}\left(\frac{1}{b_1}\right)^{(\alpha-1)/2} \tilde{w}_i^{\alpha} + \mu e^{2t}\tilde{w}_i,$$

By using the same arguments than in [2], we have:

Proposition 2.5. *We have:*

$$1)\ \tilde{w}_i(\lambda_i,\theta) - \tilde{w}_i(\lambda_i + 4,\theta) \geq \tilde{k} > 0,\ \forall\ \theta \in \mathbb{S}_{n-1}.$$

For all $\beta > 0$, there exist $c_\beta > 0$ such that:

$$2)\ \frac{1}{c_\beta}e^{(n-2)t/2} \leq \tilde{w}_i(\lambda_i + t,\theta) \leq c_\beta e^{(n-2)t/2},\ \forall\ t \leq \beta,\ \forall\ \theta \in \mathbb{S}_{n-1}.$$

We set,

$$\bar{Z}_i = -\partial_{tt}(...) + \Delta_{g_{y_i,e^t,\mathbb{S}_{n-1}}}(...) + 2\nabla_\theta(...).\nabla_\theta \log(\sqrt{b_1}) + (c + b_1^{-1/2} b_2 - c_2)(...)$$

Remark: In the operator $\bar{Z}_i$, by using the proposition 3, the coeficient $c + b_1^{-1/2} b_2 - c_2$ satisfies:

$$c + b_1^{-1/2} b_2 - c_2 \geq k' > 0, \text{ pour } t << 0,$$

it is fundamental if we want to apply Hopf maximum principle.

We set $\delta = \dfrac{(n+2) - (n-2)\alpha}{2}$.

Goal:

Like in [2], we have elliptic second order operator. Here it is $\bar{Z}_i$, the goal is to use the ”moving-plane” method to have a contradiction. For this, we must have:

$$\bar{Z}_i(\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i} - \tilde{w}_i) \leq 0, \text{ if } \tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i} - \tilde{w}_i \leq 0.$$

We write:

$$\bar{Z}_i(\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i} - \tilde{w}_i) = (\Delta_{g_{y_i,e^{t^{\xi_i}},\mathbb{S}_{n-1}}} - \Delta_{g_{y_i,e^t,\mathbb{S}_{n-1}}})(\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i}) +$$

$$+2(\nabla_{\theta,e^{t^{\xi_i}}} - \nabla_{\theta,e^t})(w_i^{\xi_i}).\nabla_{\theta,e^{t^{\xi_i}}} \log(\sqrt{b_1^{\xi_i}}) + 2\nabla_{\theta,e^t}(\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i}).\nabla_{\theta,e^{t^{\xi_i}}}[\log(\sqrt{b_1^{\xi_i}}) - \log\sqrt{b_1}] +$$

$$+2\nabla_{\theta,e^t} w_i^{\xi_i}.(\nabla_{\theta,e^{t^{\xi_i}}} - \nabla_{\theta,e^t}) \log\sqrt{b_1} - [(c + b_1^{-1/2} b_2 - c_2)^{\xi_i} - (c + b_1^{-1/2} b_2 - c_2)]\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i} +$$

$$+V_i^{\xi_i}\left(\frac{1}{b_1^{\xi_i}}\right)^{(N-2)/2} (\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i})^{N-1} - V_i\left(\frac{1}{b_1}\right)^{(N-2)/2} \tilde{w}_i^{N-1} +$$

$$+e^{\delta t^{\xi_i}} {b_1^{\xi_i}}^{(1-\alpha)/2} (\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i})^\alpha - e^{\delta t} b_1^{(1-\alpha)/2} (\tilde{w}_i)^\alpha + \mu(e^{2t^{\xi_i}} \tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i} - e^{2t}\tilde{w}_i) \qquad (***1)$$

Clearly, we have:

Lemma 2.6.

$$b_1(y_i, t, \theta) = 1 - \frac{1}{3} Ricci_{y_i}(\theta,\theta) e^{2t} + \dots,$$

$$R_g(e^t\theta) = R_g(y_i) + < \nabla R_g(y_i)|\theta > e^t + \dots.$$

According to proposition 1 and lemma 3,

Proposition 2.7.

$$\bar{Z}_i(\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i} - \tilde{w}_i) \leq \tilde{A}(e^t - e^{t^\xi})(\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i})^{N-1}) + (1/2)(e^{\delta t^{\xi_i}} - e^{\delta t})(\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i})^\alpha +$$

$$+C|e^{2t} - e^{2t^{\xi_i}}| \left[|\nabla_\theta \tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i}| + |\nabla_\theta^2(\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i})| + o(1)[\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i} + (\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i})^{N-1} + (\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i})^\alpha] + \mu \tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i} \right].$$

Proof:

We use proposition 1, we have:

$$a(y_i, t, \theta) = \log J(y_i, e^t, \theta) = \log b_1, |\partial_t b_1(t)| + |\partial_{tt} b_1(t)| + |\partial_{tt} a(t)| \leq C e^{2t},$$

and,

$$|\partial_{\theta_j} b_1| + |\partial_{\theta_j,\theta_k} b_1| + \partial_{t,\theta_j} b_1| + |\partial_{t,\theta_j,\theta_k} b_1| \leq C e^{2t},$$

then,

$$|\partial_t b_1(t^{\xi_i}) - \partial_t b_1(t)| \leq C'|e^{2t} - e^{2t^{\xi_i}}|, \text{ on }]-\infty, \log \epsilon_1] \times \mathbb{S}_{n-1}, \forall\, x \in B(x_0, \epsilon_1)$$

Locally,

$$\Delta_{g_{y_i, e^t, \mathbb{S}_{n-1}}} = L_\theta(y_i, e^t) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\tilde{g}^k(e^t, \theta)|}} \partial_{\theta^l} [\tilde{g}^{\theta^l \theta^j}(e^t, \theta) \sqrt{|\tilde{g}^k(e^t, \theta)|} \partial_{\theta^j}].$$

Thus, in $[0, \epsilon_1] \times U^k$, we have,

$$A_i = \left[\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\tilde{g}^k|}} \partial_{\theta^l} (\tilde{g}^{\theta^l \theta^j} \sqrt{|\tilde{g}^k|} \partial_{\theta^j}) \right]^{\xi_i} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\tilde{g}^k|}} \partial_{\theta^l} (\tilde{g}^{\theta^l \theta^j} \sqrt{|\tilde{g}^k|} \partial_{\theta^j}) \right] (\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i})$$

then, $A_i = B_i + D_i$ with,

$$B_i = \left[\tilde{g}^{\theta^l \theta^j}(e^{t^{\xi_i}}, \theta) - \tilde{g}^{\theta^l \theta^j}(e^t, \theta) \right] \partial_{\theta^l \theta^j} \tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i}(t, \theta),$$

and,

$$D_i = \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\tilde{g}^k|}(e^{t^{\xi_i}}, \theta)} \partial_{\theta^l} [\tilde{g}^{\theta^l \theta^j}(e^{t^{\xi_i}}, \theta) \sqrt{|\tilde{g}^k|}(e^{t^{\xi_i}}, \theta)] - \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\tilde{g}^k|}(e^t, \theta)} \partial_{\theta^l} [\tilde{g}^{\theta^l \theta^j}(e^t, \theta) \sqrt{|\tilde{g}^k|}(e^t, \theta)] \right] \partial_{\theta^j} \tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i}(t, \theta),$$

we deduce,

$$A_i \leq C_k |e^{2t} - e^{2t^{\xi_i}}| \left[|\nabla_\theta \tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i}| + |\nabla_\theta^2 (\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i})| \right],$$

We take $C = \max\{C_i, 1 \leq i \leq q\}$ and if we use $(***1)$, we obtain proposition 4.
We have:

$$\frac{\partial_{\theta_j} w_i^\lambda(t, \theta)}{w_i^\lambda} = \frac{e^{(n-2)[(\lambda-\lambda_i)+(\xi_i-t)]/2} e^{[(\lambda-\lambda_i)+(\xi_i-t)]} (\partial_{\theta_j} v_i)(e^{[(\lambda-\lambda_i)+(\lambda-t)]}\theta)}{e^{(n-2)[(\lambda-\lambda_i)+(\lambda-t)]/2} v_i [e^{(\lambda-\lambda_i)+(\lambda-t)}\theta]} \leq \bar{C}_i,$$

Also:

$$\frac{\partial_{\theta_j, \theta_l} w_i^\lambda(t, \theta)}{w_i^\lambda} = \frac{e^{(n-2)[(\lambda-\lambda_i)+(\xi_i-t)]/2} e^{2[(\lambda-\lambda_i)+(\xi_i-t)]} (\partial_{\theta_j, \theta_l} v_i)(e^{[(\lambda-\lambda_i)+(\lambda-t)]}\theta)}{e^{(n-2)[(\lambda-\lambda_i)+(\lambda-t)]/2} v_i [e^{(\lambda-\lambda_i)+(\lambda-t)}\theta]} \leq \bar{C}_i.$$

where $\bar{C}_i$ tends to 0 and does not depend on λ.
We have,

$$c(y_i, t, \theta) = \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{n-2}{2} \partial_t a + R_g e^{2t}, \qquad (\alpha_1)$$

$$b_2(t, \theta) = \partial_{tt}(\sqrt{b_1}) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{b_1}} \partial_{tt} b_1 - \frac{1}{4(b_1)^{3/2}} (\partial_t b_1)^2, \qquad (\alpha_2)$$

$$c_2 = [\frac{1}{\sqrt{b_1}} \Delta_{g_{y_i, e^t, \mathbb{S}_{n-1}}} (\sqrt{b_1}) + |\nabla_\theta \log(\sqrt{b_1})|^2], \qquad (\alpha_3)$$

Then,

$$\partial_t c(y_i, t, \theta) = \frac{(n-2)}{2} \partial_{tt} a,$$

by proposition 1,

$$|\partial_t c_2| + |\partial_t b_1| + |\partial_t b_2| + |\partial_t c| \leq K_1 e^{2t}.$$

We have:

$$w_i(2\xi_i - t, \theta) = w_i[(\xi_i - t + \xi_i - \lambda_i - 2) + (\lambda_i + 2)],$$

Thus,

$$w_i(2\xi_i - t, \theta) = e^{[(n-2)(\xi_i - t + \xi_i - \lambda_i - 2)]/2} e^{n-2} v_i [\theta e^2 e^{(\xi_i - t) + (\xi_i - \lambda_i - 2)}] \leq 2^{(n-2)/2} e^{n-2} = \bar{c}.$$

We set $\delta = \dfrac{(n+2)-(n-2)\alpha}{2}$.

The left right side are denoted Z_1 et Z_2, we can write:

$$Z_1 = (\bar{V}_i^{\xi_i} - \bar{V}_i)(\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i})^{N-1} + \bar{V}_i[(\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i})^{N-1} - \tilde{w}_i^{N-1}],$$

and,

$$Z_2 = e^{\delta t}[(\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i})^{\alpha} - (\tilde{w}_i)^{\alpha}] + (\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i})^{\alpha}(e^{\delta t^{\xi_i}} - e^{\delta t}).$$

We can write the part with nonlinear terms as:
$(\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i})^{\alpha}[(A\, {w_i^{\xi_i}}^{N-1-\alpha} + B)\,(e^t - e^{t^{\xi_i}}) + c\,(e^{\delta t^{\xi_i}} - e^{\delta t})]$.

Because $w_i^{\xi_i} \leq \bar{c}$, we have:

$$-\bar{Z}_i((\tilde{w}_i)^{\xi_i} - (\tilde{w}_i)) \leq (\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i})^{\alpha}[(A\bar{c}^{N-1-\alpha} + B)\,(e^t - e^{t^{\xi_i}}) + c\,(e^{\delta t^{\xi_i}} - e^{\delta t})] + (\tilde{w}_i)^{\xi_i}(\mu/2)(e^{2t^{\xi_i}} - e^{2t})]$$

But $\alpha \in]\dfrac{n}{n-2}, \dfrac{n+2}{n-2}[$, $\delta = \dfrac{n+2-(n-2)\alpha}{2} \in]0,1[$.

We obtain for $t \leq t_0 < 0$:

$$e^t \leq e^{(1-\delta)t_0} e^{\delta t}, \text{ pour tout } t \leq t_0.$$

and, $t^{\xi_i} \leq t$ ($\xi_i \leq t$), we integrate:

$$(e^{\delta t^{\xi_i}} - e^{\delta t}) \leq \frac{\delta}{e^{(1-\delta)t_0}}\,(e^{t^{\xi_i}} - e^t).$$

Finaly:

$$-\bar{Z}_i((\tilde{w}_i)^{\xi_i} - (\tilde{w}_i)) \leq (\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i})^{\alpha}[-\frac{\delta\, c}{e^{(1-\delta)t_0}} + A\,\bar{c}^{N-1-\alpha} + B](e^t - e^{t^{\xi_i}}) + (\tilde{w}_i)^{\xi_i}(\mu/2)(e^{2t^{\xi_i}} - e^{2t})$$

We apply proposition 3. We take $t_i = \log\sqrt{l_i}$ with l_i like in proposition 2. The fact $\sqrt{l_i}[u_i(y_i)]^{2/(n-2)} \to +\infty$ (see proposition 2), implies $t_i = \log\sqrt{l_i} > \dfrac{2}{n-2}\log u_i(y_i) + 2 = \lambda_i + 2$. Finaly, we can work on $]-\infty, t_i]$.

We define ξ_i by:

$$\xi_i = \sup\{\lambda \leq \lambda_i + 2,\ \tilde{w}_i(2\lambda - t, \theta) - \tilde{w}_i(t,\theta) \leq 0 \text{ on } [\lambda, t_i] \times \mathbb{S}_{n-1}\}.$$

If we use proposition 4 and the similar technics that in [B2] we can deduce by Hopf maximum principle,

$$\min_{\mathbb{S}_{n-1}} \tilde{w}_i(t_i,\theta) \leq \max_{\mathbb{S}_{n-1}} \tilde{w}_i(2\xi_i - t_i, \theta),$$

which implies,

$${l_i}^{(n-2)/2} u_i(y_i) \times \min_M u_i \leq c.$$

It is in contradiction with proposition 2.

Then we have,

$$\sup_K u \times \inf_M u \leq c = c(\alpha, a, b, A, K, M, g, n).$$

REFERENCES

[1] T. Aubin. Some Nonlinear Problems in Riemannian Geometry. Springer-Verlag 1998

[2] S.S Bahoura. Majorations du type $\sup u \times \inf u \leq c$ pour l'équation de la courbure scalaire sur un ouvert de $\mathbb{R}^n, n \geq 3$. J. Math. Pures. Appl.(9) 83 2004 no, 9, 1109-1150.

[3] H. Brezis, YY. Li Y-Y, I. Shafrir. A sup+inf inequality for some nonlinear elliptic equations involving exponential nonlinearities. J.Funct.Anal.115 (1993) 344-358.

[4] H.Brezis and F.Merle, Uniform estimates and blow-up bihavior for solutions of $-\Delta u = Ve^u$ in two dimensions, Commun Partial Differential Equations 16 (1991), 1223-1253.

[5] M-F. Bidaut-Veron, L. Veron. Nonlinear elliptic equations on compact Riemannian manifolds and asymptotics od Emden equations. Invent.Math. 106 (1991), no3, 489-539.

[6] L. Caffarelli, B. Gidas, J. Spruck. Asymptotic symmetry and local behavior of semilinear elliptic equations with critical Sobolev growth. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 37 (1984) 369-402.

[7] A sharp sup+inf inequality for a nonlinear elliptic equation in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Commun. Anal. Geom. 6, No.1, 1-19 (1998).

[8] C-C.Chen, C-S. Lin. Estimates of the conformal scalar curvature equation via the method of moving planes. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. L(1997) 0971-1017.

[9] O. Druet, E. Hebey, F.Robert, Blow-up theory in Riemannian Geometry, Princeton University Press 2004.

[10] B. Gidas, W-M. Ni, L. Nirenberg. Symmetry and Related Properties via the Maximum Principle. Commun. Math. Phys. 68, 209-243 (1979).

[11] Gidas, J. Spruck. Global and Local Behavior of Positive Solutions of Nonlinear Elliptic Equations. Comm. Pure. Appl. Math. 34 (1981), no 4, 525-598.

[12] D. Gilbarg, N.S. Trudinger. Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second order, Berlin Springer-Verlag, Second edition, Grundlehern Math. Wiss.,224, 1983.

[13] E. Hebey, Analyse non lineaire sur les Variétés, Editions Diderot.

[14] E. Hebey, M. Vaugon. The best constant problem in the Sobolev embedding theorem for complete Riemannian manifolds. Duke Math. J. 79 (1995), no. 1, 235–279.

[15] N. Korevaar, F. Pacard, R. Mazzeo, R. Schoen. Refined asymptotics for constant scalar curvature metrics with isolated singularities. Invent. Math. 135 (1999), no. 2, 233–272.

[16] J.M. Lee, T.H. Parker. The Yamabe problem. Bull.Amer.Math.Soc (N.S) 17 (1987), no.1, 37 -91.

[17] YY. Li. Harnack Type Inequality: the Method of Moving Planes. Commun. Math. Phys. 200,421-444 (1999).

[18] YY. Li. Prescribing scalar curvature on $\mathbb{S}_n$ and related Problems. C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 317 (1993) 159-164. Part I: J. Differ. Equations 120 (1995) 319-410. Part II: Existence and compactness. Comm. Pure Appl.Math.49 (1996) 541-597.

[19] YY. Li, L. Zhang. A Harnack type inequality for the Yamabe equation in low dimensions. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 20 (2004), no. 2, 133–151.

[20] F.C. Marques. A Priori Estimates for the Yamabe Problem in the non-locally conformally flat case. J. Diff. Geom. 71 (2005) 315-346.

[21] I. Shafrir. A sup+inf inequality for the equation $-\Delta u = Ve^u$. C. R. Acad.Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 315 (1992), no. 2, 159-164.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PIERRE ET MARIE CURIE UNIVERSITY, 75005 PARIS FRANCE.
E-mail address: `samybahoura@yahoo.fr, bahoura@ccr.jussieu.fr`